

MARION MISSISSIPPI BOARD OF WATER COMMISSIONERS

JQ8  
8-5-65

WATER WELL DRILLERS LOG

CODED

Date: 8-5 1966, Driller: Decord SS Rouse County Marion

~~H. H. FORBES~~

(Name)

(1) Owner of Land: H. H. FORBES

(Name)

Mrs Beanie Dee Forbes

(Address)

(2) Location: Early Hook 201-14

1/4, 1/4, Sec. T R

10 miles East of Whitland

(distance) (direction) (Nearest Town)

(3) Topography: \_\_\_\_\_

(Hilly) (Flat) (Level)

(4) Purpose of Well: Domestic

(Domestic Irrigation  
Municipal, Industrial, Other)

Description & Color of Materials Sand, Clay, Red Clay, Shell, etc.	Thick- ness Feet	Depth Feet
<u>Red clay</u>	<u>30</u>	
<u>Gravel and sand</u>	<u>1</u>	
<u>river sand</u>	<u>25</u>	
		<u>95</u>

CODED

Information upon completion of well:

(1) Diameter 4 inches.

(2) Total Depth 95 feet.

(3) Water Level 60 feet below top of ground.

(4) Cased to 89, Size 4

(5) Screen: Size 4, Length 6


(6) Were any formations sealed against pollution?

\_\_\_\_\_ yes,  no.

If YES depth of formation \_\_\_\_\_

Why \_\_\_\_\_

Drillers Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_



(Use Back Side)

Well No.

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that every entry should be supported by a valid receipt or invoice. This ensures transparency and allows for easy verification of the data.

In the second section, the author outlines the various methods used to collect and analyze the data. This includes both primary and secondary data collection techniques. The primary data was gathered through direct observation and interviews, while secondary data was obtained from existing reports and databases.

The third section details the statistical analysis performed on the collected data. This involves the use of descriptive statistics to summarize the data and inferential statistics to test hypotheses. The results of these analyses are presented in a clear and concise manner, highlighting the key findings of the study.

Finally, the document concludes with a discussion of the implications of the findings. It suggests that the results have significant implications for the field of study and provides recommendations for further research. The author also acknowledges the limitations of the study and offers suggestions for how these can be addressed in future work.