

MADISON

WATER WELL DRILLERS LOG

CODED

G-48  
Date: 7/21, 1965, Driller: M<sup>c</sup>Kay  
7-21-65

County: Madison

- (1) Owner of Land: John Anderson  
(Name)  
Center  
(Address)
- (2) Location: 7 1/4,        1/4, Sec. 23 T. 10 R. 3E,  
7 miles NE, of Center,  
(distance) (direction) (Nearest Town)
- (3) Topography:  (Hilly)  (Flat)  (Level)
- (4) Purpose of Well: Home  
(Domestic Irrigation  
Municipal, Industrial, Other)

Description & Color of Materials Sand, Clay, Red Clay, Shell, etc.	Thick- ness Feet	Depth Feet
Red clay	0	20
Blue clay	20	40
Blue clay	40	100
Fine sand	100	200
Shale	200	240
Sand	240	280
Clay -	280	300

Information upon completion of well:


- (1) Diameter 2 inches.
- (2) Total Depth 300 feet.
- (3) Water Level 77 feet below top of ground.
- (4) Cased to \_\_\_\_\_, Size \_\_\_\_\_
- (5) Screen: Size 007, Length 10
- (6) Were any formations sealed against pollution?  
\_\_\_\_\_ yes, \_\_\_\_\_ no.

If YES depth of formation \_\_\_\_\_

Why \_\_\_\_\_

Drillers Remarks: \_\_\_\_\_

CODED



(Use Back Side)

Well No.

The first part of the document discusses the importance of maintaining accurate records of all transactions. It emphasizes that every entry should be supported by a valid receipt or invoice. This ensures transparency and allows for easy verification of the data.

In the second section, the author outlines the various methods used to collect and analyze the data. This includes both primary and secondary data collection techniques. The primary data was gathered through direct observation and interviews, while secondary data was obtained from existing reports and databases.

The third section provides a detailed description of the data analysis process. This involves identifying patterns, trends, and anomalies within the data set. Statistical methods were employed to quantify the results and test the hypotheses. The findings are then presented in a clear and concise manner, using tables and graphs to illustrate the key points.

Finally, the document concludes with a summary of the main findings and their implications. It highlights the strengths and limitations of the study and offers suggestions for future research. The overall goal is to provide a comprehensive and reliable account of the research process and its results.